

EMU Eastern Mediterranean University

VAWT FOR URBAN UTILITY

MOIEZ HAIDER 138026 M A H M OUD A M M AR 1 4 8 3 9 4 KIANOOSH DANESH NIA 137299

Supervisor: Assoc. Prof. Dr. Qasim Zeeshan

PRESENTATION CONTENTS

Introduction Aim, objectives, and configurations

Configuration 1 Components, calculations, and material selection.

Components, material selection, and manufacturing.

04

Testing Procedure, and results.

Configuration 2

Annual Energy Results Annual energy production.

Future Works Failure, and improvement

INTRODUCTION

AIM, OBJECTIVES, AND CONFIGURATIONS

AIM

Design to maximize energy output in urban, and inhabited areas.

CONFIGURATIONS

There are 2 configurations:

Configuration 1 Configuration 2

OBJECTIVES

- Self start
- Minimal maintenance
- Noiseless
- Safety
- Bird friendly
- Aesthetic visual integration in urban locations

INSPIRATION

Design: Kliux Zebra **Website:** www.kliux.com/en/

BREAK DOWN STRUCTURE - CONFIGURATION 1

1) BLADE

AIRFOI L CO N F IGURAT IO N

NACA 6412 - Blue NACA 6409 - Green E385 - Red

NACA 6412 - Blue NACA 6409 - Pink E385 - Green

1) BLADE

MATERIAL SELECTION

4 materials: PU, PS, CFRP, & Steel

Volume: 6027.05 cm^3 Mass: 6.27 kg Height: 130 cm

2) SHAFT

GEO M E TRY SE L ECT IO N

2 main types: Hollow and Solid

M AT ERIAL SE L ECT IO N

3 main types: Steel, PVC, and Al

CALCULAT IO N S

The diameter = 5 cm

$$
d = \left(\frac{16n}{\pi} \left\{ \frac{1}{S_e} \left[4(K_f K_b M_a)^2 + 3(K_{fs} K_t T_a)^2 \right]^{0.5} + \frac{1}{S_{yt}} \left[4(K_f K_b M_m)^2 + 3(K_{fs} K_t T_m)^2 \right]^{0.5} \right\} \right)
$$

3) GEARBOX

GEO M E TRY SE L ECT IO N

2 types: Spur, and Helical Gears

The gearbox ratio was found using the assumption of 40-70 initial RPM usining:

$$
n_1 = \left| \frac{N_2}{N_1} n_2 \right| = \left| \frac{d_2}{d_1} n_2 \right|
$$

DESIGN CALCULATIONS

Theoretical Calculations

Average wind speed is between 3 to 4 m/s;

- Wind Power:

$$
P_w = \frac{0.593 \times \rho_{air} \times dh \times V^3}{2}
$$

- Tip Speed Ratio:

$$
\lambda_{real} = \frac{\omega \times K}{V_{\infty}}
$$

- Mechanical Power:

 $P_m = 0.5 \times I_{shaff} \times \omega^3$

$$
I_{shapt} = N \times \rho_B \left(W_B \times L_B \times t_B \right) R^2 + \frac{N \times \rho_B \left(W_B \times L_B \times t_B \right) \left(L_B^2 \times R^2 \right)}{12}
$$

- Coefficient of Performance:

$$
C_p=\frac{P_m}{P_w}
$$

DESIGN CALCULATIONS

Simulation Results

The simulation was done using QBlade software

COMPUTATIONAL FLUID DYNAMICS

For the blade design

Simulation for the effect of velocity, and pressure distribution using SolidWorks

BREAK DOWN STRUCTURE - CONFIGURATION 2

1) BLADE

Manufacturing Constraint of Expanded Polyurethane:

- 1) Availability: not available in TRNC
- 2) Cost: expensive to order form abroad
- 3) Machining: needs a 6-axis CNC machine

Therefore, Galvanized Steel Sheets were used.

COST CONSTRAINTS

CFRP:

- Material Cost (3.2 m^2): 5600 tl
- Manufacturing Cost: Machining to the airfoil shape was hard, so it was eliminated before checking for the price

GALVANIZED STEEL SHEET:

- Material Cost (3.2 m^2): 200 tl
- Manufacturing Cost: 50 tl [renting the machines]
- **Overall:** 250 tl

POLYSTYRENE:

- Material Cost: 400 tl
- Manufacturing Cost: 1100 tl [using laser CNC machine]
- **Overall:** 1500 tl

Consequently, the best choice was Galvanized Steel Sheet.

2) CONNECTION ARMS

CHANGE IN LENGTH

- Twist was not completely obtained [manufacturing constraints]

- The length of each arm was increased to 0.5 m

- The missing Savonius effect was overcame

USING SLEEVES

Sleeves with fins were used to connect the arms to the shaft in order to increase the damping ratio

3) WHEEL & DYNAMO

COST CONSTRAINTS

Assembling the Gearbox:

- $-$ Gearbox = 245 tl
- Generator = 400 tl
- $-$ Sitting = 30 tl
- Bearing = 65 tl

Overall: 125 tl

Overall: 740 tl

Assembling the Wheel:

- $-Wheel = 85tl$
- Dynamo = no cost
- Couplings = 40 tl

4) TRIANGULATION ARMS

Added to reduce the vibration of the connection arms

Reason for Joining by Welding:

- The vibration problem was noticed until after testing
- Time constraint was a problem to join by bolts
- Welding was used

BLADES FABRICATION

Manual Arm Guillotine Shear: Safety for Shearing: ANSI B11.4—2003

Plate Bending Roll & Brake Machine: Safety: ANSI B11.18—2006

JOINING BLADES, SLEEVES, BEARINGS, & COUPLINGS

Standards:

Square Bolts: ASME B18.2.1 Plier: ASME B107.20 Cross Tip Screwdriver: ASME B107.30 - 2008 Twist Drills: ASME B94.11M Safety for Drilling: ANSI B11.8—2001

BASE ASSEMBLING

Standards:

Welding: AWS/ASME SFA – 5.1 E 6013

Disassembling (cost constraint):

- Previous dimensions were too small
- Disassembling using circular saw
- Arc welding with 90 degree angle to the ground

TESTING

RP M

The turbine's RPM was measured using a video by marking one of the blade as a reference and slowing down the video to find the revolution per minute

VOL TAGE & CURRE N T

Multimeter was the device used to measure the voltage produced; by recording a video of the voltmeter and finding the average

PO W ER

With the correlation of data collected with the multimeter (both voltage and current), we found the approximate power generated using the formula $P = VI$

W I N D SPE ED

Anemometer was used to obtain different ranges of wind speed

SUPERIMPOSED PERFORMANCE RESULTS OF THE CONFIGURATIONS

ANNUAL ENERGY PRODUCTION

The AEP was calculated using:

BILL OF MATERIALS

WHY INCONSISTENT RESULTS?

- Limitation on the accuracy of the QBlade software: - Assumption of steady flow - No tip losses considered
- Fluctuation in the Cp vs. Wind Speed curve, could have been due to the wake expansions or vortices formed within the turbine
- At high wind speed, the rotation of the turbine increases significantly; probably resulting in the blade rotation acting as a barrier wall
- Inability to find the right angle of twist to generate optimum power

CONFIGURATION 1 CONFIGURATION 2

- Different angle of attack of each blade, (manufacturing constraints)
- Misalignment of the shaft from coupling joints, and unavailability of thrust bearing
- Drag force not coming into play as required, due to inaccurate manufacturing of twist angle
- Triangulation arms added extra weight
- Unavailability of gearbox, so no high rpm to justify the cost of the wind turbine

FUTURE IMPROVEMENTS

- Use of better simulation software like ANSYS
- Obtaining the proper angle of twist

CONFIGURATION 1 CONFIGURATION 2

- Precise manufacturing of the blades (Linear and identical angle of attacks and twists)
- Use one shaft for the whole design
- Adding a gearbox
- Thrust bearing being incorporated into the design, to account for movement in the axial direction
- Incorporate three disks instead of two sleeves for more efficient way off tackling vibration

THANKS FOR LISTENING

For more information: www.me.emu.edu.tr/vawt